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Opening Thoughts

- Low-Carbon Concrete
- What is it?
- And why should I care?

- Let’s start by discussing the motivation... and the realities...
Opening Thoughts - Motivation

*The times they are a changing*

*Bob Dylan, 1964*
Others are not Waiting...

Architects embrace "the beginning of the timber age"
Goals have been set and we can argue if they are right or wrong...

However...
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misunderstanding

There is more stupidity than hydrogen in the universe, and it has a longer shelf life.

Frank Zappa
Portland Cement is Not Going Away

• *We* (society) need concrete and *we* need to accept a few facts.
  • Portland cement is not going away probably ever – we need to make it work
  • New materials will have a role but...
  • Our goals must be realistic – we need to use the materials we have better
  • We cannot completely disrupt an industry as pervasive as construction
  • If we want low-carbon concrete we need to make changes in cooperation with industry – it cannot be forced
  • And we (society) have few choices if we want to maintain our lifestyles
Where is the User Community Going?

• Before looking at paths forward – let’s look at the demand side
• Is net-zero carbon concrete important to owners?
Where is the User Community Going?

CIRCULAR ECONOMY COMMITMENTS

Our ambition is to maximize the reuse of finite resources across our operations, products, and supply chains and enable others to do the same.

A circular Google ➔
Supporting partners ➔
Empowering people ➔
Where is the User Community Going?

Google has completed its first mass timber office building in Sunnyvale CA.
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Google: Can Post-Consumer Glass Be Used in Concrete to Reduce Carbon Footprints?

BY KATE GRIFFITH  JULY 2, 2018
Where is the User Community Going?

Meta AI Finds New Concrete Recipes to Cut Meta’s Carbon Footprint

Facebook's parent company, Meta, is using AI to develop new concrete recipes that emit less carbon dioxide.

AWS is partnering with a concrete company to develop a more sustainable concrete mix for its data centers.

American Rock Products is collaborating with AWS to lower the carbon footprint of new data centers in Oregon.
GSA Lightens the Environmental Footprint of its Building Materials

March 30, 2022

Market insights from industry inform first standards for low-carbon concrete and environmentally preferable asphalt used at GSA job sites

WASHINGTON – With considerable marketplace feedback gathered from small businesses and other industry partners, the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) has issued new standards for the concrete and asphalt used in nationwide GSA construction, modernization, and paving projects. These standards are the first in the U.S. to apply beyond a local jurisdiction. They will help strengthen American leadership in clean manufacturing, catalyze clean energy innovation, and combat climate change.

“GSA is excited to deploy these groundbreaking standards as part of this administration’s all-hands-on-deck effort to catalyze clean energy innovation and strengthen American leadership on clean manufacturing,” said GSA Administrator Robin Carnahan. “The feedback we received from industry is proof positive that combating climate change is also an opportunity to boost American innovation. We were impressed by the industry’s overall ‘can-do’ response to our requests for information, and by the fact that over 44% of the manufacturers that responded were small businesses.”
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4.8.5 LOW EMBODIED CARBON CONCRETE

All GSA projects that use at least ten (10) cubic yards of a concrete mix must:

1. Provide a product-specific cradle-to-gate Type III environmental product declaration (EPD) for each concrete mix design specified in the contract and used at the project, using NSF International’s product category rule for concrete. Send EPD(s) with each concrete mix batch design (including type [e.g. standard or lightweight mix] and volume) to embodiedcarbon@gsa.gov, and upload the submittals into GSA’s project management information system.

2. Provide low embodied carbon concrete that meets the global warming potential (GWP) limits of the table below, for concrete of the mix type and strength class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specified compressive strength (f’c in PSI)</th>
<th>Standard Mix</th>
<th>High Early Strength</th>
<th>Lightweight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>up to 2499</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500-3499</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500-4499</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500-5499</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5500-6499</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500 and up</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 Low Embodied Carbon Concrete

Maximum Global Warming Potential Limits for GSA Low Embodied Carbon Concrete (kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent per cubic meter - CO2e kg/m3)

These numbers reflect a 20% reduction from GWP (CO2e) limits in proposed code language: “Lifecycle GHG Impacts in Building Codes” by the New Buildings Institute, January 2022.
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Fact Sheet: Biden-Harris Administration Advances Cleaner Industrial Sector to Reduce Emissions and Reinvigorate American Manufacturing

Supported by $5 billion in funding from the Inflation Reduction Act

New Pro-Climate, Pro-Worker Actions Create Jobs and Harness the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, Federal Purchasing Power, and Trade Policy
Launching “Buy Clean” Procurement

The federal government is the largest purchaser in the world, with annual purchasing power of over $650 billion. To harness that power to support low-carbon, made in America materials, the Council on Environmental Quality and White House Office of Domestic Climate Policy are establishing the first-ever Buy Clean Task Force. As directed by the President's December 2021 executive order on federal sustainability, the Task Force will promote use of construction materials with lower embodied emissions and pollutants across their lifecycle—including each stage of the manufacturing process.
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- Identifying materials, such as steel and concrete, as well as pollutants to prioritize for consideration in Federal procurement and federally funded projects.

- Increasing the transparency of embodied emissions through supplier reporting, including incentives and technical assistance to help domestic manufacturers better report and reduce embodied emissions.

- Launching pilot programs to boost federal procurement of clean construction materials.
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The Department of Transportation (DOT) is announcing new efforts to support **use of low-carbon materials in federal transportation projects**. A new pilot program will target key products and services to increase use of Environmental Product Declarations and incentivize acquisition of low-carbon materials. Additionally, DOT is standing up a Department-wide Embodied Carbon Working Group to assess and implement actions to reduce lifecycle emissions of construction materials used in transportation infrastructure.
Where is the User Community Going?

But wait... there’s more
Where is the User Community Going?

- There is not just policy – there is legislation.

- New York State’s Low Embodied Carbon Concrete Leadership Act (LECCLA)
  - Lower Carbon Concrete Specification
    - Affected entities shall, to the maximum extent practicable, procure lower-carbon concrete ... while meeting strength and other performance requirements as designed by the Design Professional in the Technical Specifications for the project
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- **Batch Plant Ready-mix concrete delivered to jobsite:**
- **Provide an EPD where available – If not, industry averages are stipulated in the law**
- **Set Cement Content limits.**
  - Mix designs are limited to a maximum portland cement content of **400 pcy**.
    - This does not include sidewalks, slabs on grade, or any application that requires a final finish.
  - Mix designs are limited to a maximum portland cement content of **300 pcy** for mass concrete and all concrete applications below grade and against earth, or below grade and confined concrete such as concrete fill within steel pipe piles.
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- **Achieve Additional Cement use reduction with inclusion of pozzolans.**
  - 30% minimum total SCM (including fly ash, slag, silica fume, GGP in alignment with ASTM C1866, and/or metakaolin) by total weight of all cementitious materials, unless otherwise shown on the Contract Drawings.

- **Cement use reduction through reduction in percentage of paste.**
  - Use of blended aggregates when available.
  - Other restrictions on gradation and content
City of Portland

Low-carbon concrete specification

Low-Carbon Concrete Pilot Project: Evaluating Set Times and Early Strength

This study provides information on one of the City of Portland’s low-carbon concrete pilot projects within the City’s Bureau of Transportation.
May 23, 2022

NOTICE OF NEW REQUIREMENTS FOR CONCRETE

The City of Portland is adding Concrete Embodied Carbon Threshold requirements, as further specified below, to the approval process for the supply of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC), including: Commercial Grade Concrete (CGC), Plain Concrete Pavement (PCP), and High-Performance Concrete/Structural Concrete (HPC) for City construction projects. These Concrete Embodied Carbon Thresholds and related implementation procedures are based on the recommendations developed by a multistakeholder committee specifically convened for the task, referred to as the City of Portland Low-Embodied Carbon Concrete Threshold Committee. More information about the Committee and the Concrete Embodied Carbon Threshold development process can be found at: https://www.portland.gov/omf/brfs/procurement/sustainable-procurement-program/sp-initiatives#toc-low-carbon-concrete-initiative.
1.1 Concrete Embodied Carbon Thresholds – Per Mix

The embodied carbon of a concrete mix, based on an approved EPD, shall not exceed the value given in Table 1 (per yd3) or Table 2 (per m3).

**Table 1: Concrete Embodied Carbon Thresholds (per yd3)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete Strength (psi) (1)</th>
<th>Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) including: Commercial Grade Concrete (CGC), Concrete Pavement, High-Performance Concrete (HPC)/Structural Concrete</th>
<th>Lightweight Concrete</th>
<th>Controlled Low-Strength Material (CLSM)</th>
<th>Shotcrete</th>
<th>Drilled-Shaft</th>
<th>Grout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>440</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) For concrete strengths between the stated values, use linear interpolation to determine cement and/or embodied carbon limits, rounded to the nearest whole number.

Example: for a 3300psi CGC mix:
\[(242-200)/(4000-3000) = 0.042\]
\[(0.042*(3300-3000)) + 200 = 212.6\]

**213** is the Maximum GWP/yd3 for a 3300psi mix.
1.2 Concrete Embodied Carbon Thresholds – Project Average
Total embodied carbon ($EC_{proj}$) of all concrete mix designs within the same project shall not exceed the project limit ($EC_{allowed}$) determined using Table 1 or Table 2 (as applicable based on units) and Equation EC1.

**Equation EC1**

$EC_{proj} < EC_{allowed}$

*where*

$EC_{proj} = \Sigma EC_n \cdot v_n$ *and* $EC_{allowed} = \Sigma EC_{th} \cdot v_n$

*and*

$n$ = the total number of concrete mixtures for the project

$EC_n$ = the embodied carbon for mixture $n$ per approved EPD, GWP/yd3

$EC_{th}$ = the embodied carbon threshold for mixture $n$ per Table 1, GWP/yd3

$v_n$ = the volume of mixture $n$ concrete to be placed, yd3
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- **NYC - New York City’s Clean Construction Executive Order 23** – Agencies are ordered to establish low-carbon specifications, collect data on carbon intensity of concrete and steel, and perform whole-building life cycle assessment.
- **NJ – Senate Bill 287** - provides tax credits for low-carbon concrete and the cost of developing EPDs
- **California SB 596** – Greenhouse Gas (GHG) reduction to 40% below baseline by 2035, net-zero by 2045 for the “cement sector”
- **Marin County Green Building Code**

19.07.050 – Compliance

Compliance with the requirements of this chapter shall be demonstrated through any of the compliance options in Sections 19.07.050.2 through 19.07.050.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 19.07.050 Cement and Embodied Carbon Limit Pathways</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum specified compressive strength $f_c$, psi (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up to 2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7001 and higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up to 3000 light weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000 light weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 light weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

(1) For concrete strengths between the stated values, use linear interpolation to determine cement and/or embodied carbon limits.

(2) Portland cement of any type per ASTM C150.
Owners such as Google, Meta, Amazon, Target, etc. are obvious but it is non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and other non-profits that are shaping policy and legislation.
Other Considerations - Who is Driving the Change?

We Need to Tackle Five Grand Challenges

How We Plug In, Make Things, Grow Things, Get Around, Keep and Stay Warm
Other Considerations - Who is Driving the Change?

The landmark Inflation Reduction Act is now law, but the climate fight is far from over: Tell President Biden what needs to happen next to avert climate catastrophe. Take action →
Other Considerations - Who is Driving the Change?
Other Considerations - Who is Driving the Change?

Proposed Model Building Code Could Slash Energy Use in New Commercial Buildings
Has industry responded?
Everyone has a Roadmap

• The cement and concrete producers are committed to being net carbon neutral by 2050

• Common elements - address the carbon footprint across the entire concrete value chain

• Long-term (10-30 years out) - modification of cement production including carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS)

• Near term (next 5-10 years) - significant progress must be achieved through enhancements in concrete production and use.
This is on concrete to make happen!

Efficiency in design & construction

Efficiency in concrete production

Savings in cement & binders

Approximately 42% of the total reduction...
The Net Zero Pathway

Contributions to achieve net zero

- Efficiency in design & construction: 22%
- Efficiency in concrete production: 11%
- Savings in cement & binders: 9%
- Savings in clinker production: 9%
- Carbon capture and utilisation/ storage (CCUS): 35%
- De-carbonisation of electricity: 5%
- CO2 sink: recarbonation: 6%

- Net zero pathway
- CO2 emissions from electricity
- Direct net CO2 emissions

Societies need for concrete (in the absence of any action) is forecast to result in 3.8Gt CO2 in 2050.

Approximately 42% of the total reduction...
What is Low-Carbon Concrete?

- Concrete has one of the lowest carbon footprints of any material... but...
- We used ~4.5 billion tons world-wide in 2020, projected to double by 2050
- ~2 cy/person/year
- ~120 million tons of cement (U.S.) in 2021
- Concrete Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions at the Gate
  - 1.5% acquiring raw materials
  - 9.5% concrete production
  - 89% cement production

- Actually we mean reduced-carbon concrete

Sutter Engineering LLC
Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs)

- Developed under ISO 14040 (LCA) and 14025 standards
- Quickly becoming required by many private and public owners
- Report Global Warming Potential (GWP) in kg CO$_2$$_{eq}$ / kg product
- CO$_2$$_{eq}$ is a composite number
- All GHG converted to the equal effect of an amount of CO$_2$
Life-Cycle System Boundary

Figure 1: System Boundaries, example flow
Life-Cycle System Boundary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product stage</th>
<th>Construction Process stage</th>
<th>Use stage</th>
<th>End-of-life stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw Material Supply</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Operational Energy Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Construction/Installation</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Operational Water Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Repair</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>Waste processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>B3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>B7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1. Life cycle stage schematic – alpha-numeric designations as per NSF PCR (adapted from CEN 15978:2011)*
Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs)

From EC3 Tool

ENvironmental Impacts

Declared Product:
Mix 3A21-RGSC • MAPLE GROVE READY-MIX Plant
Description: 3900,3A21-RGSC,20AEBM,ZC30,G7
Compressive strength: 3900 PSI at 28 days

Declared Unit: 1 m³ of concrete (1 cyd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Impact</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Warming Potential (kg CO₂-eq)</td>
<td>220 (169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone Depletion Potential (kg CFC-112-eq)</td>
<td>7.07E-6 (5.90E-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidification Potential (kg SO₂-eq)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication Potential (kg N-eq)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochemical Ozone Creation Potential (kg O₃-eq)</td>
<td>15.6 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic Depletion, non-fossil (kg Sb-eq)</td>
<td>4.37E-5 (3.34E-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic Depletion, fossil (MJ)</td>
<td>1,341 (1,025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Waste Disposed (kg)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of Freshwater (m³)</td>
<td>3.08 (2.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


From EC3 Tool
### Example of Embodied Carbon (GWP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>GWP (kgCO₂-eq/mt)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Cement (U.S.)</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>EPD for the Portland Cement Association (PCA)(3/12/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holcim Ste. Genevieve AASHTO M 85 Type I/II</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>EPD for Ste. Genevieve, MO Cement Plant (2/26/2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holcim St. Genevieve AASHTO M 240 Type IL(8)¹</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>EPD for Ste. Genevieve, MO Cement Plant (2/26/2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F fly ash</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>FHWA LCAPave Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Mining Pozzotive® ground glass pozzolan</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>EPD for Urban Mining Pozzotive® ground glass pozzolan (5/11/20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ This material is sourced from a specific location or batch.
The Path Forward for Concrete

*Less clinker in cement, less cement in concrete, less concrete in construction*

- Replace clinker content in cement
  - Use blended cement (ASTM C595) or replace clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) at concrete plant
- Use less cementitious materials
  - Optimized aggregate grading
  - Lower cementitious content
- Optimize designs & new mixtures
- Use alternative SCMs and/or alternative cementitious materials
Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs)

• The production and supply of SCMs is an important factor that will impact the drive to net carbon neutral concrete.

• Every concrete industry roadmap for carbon reduction relies on increasing the use of SCMs to reduce the clinker content of cement.

• The Portland Cement Association (PCA) Roadmap to Carbon Neutrality estimates the current clinker factor to be ~0.90, calling for a reduction to 0.85 by 2030, 0.80 by 2040, and 0.75 by 2050.

• Requires significant increase in SCM use.

Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs)

- Benefits
- Cost reduction (historically)
- Durability
  - Alkali Silica Reaction
  - Sulfate Resistance
- Chemical resistance to deicers
- Reduced heat of hydration
- Improved performance (e.g., strength)

Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs)

- Common Use Types
  - Coal Ash (fly ash and bottom ash)
  - Slag Cement
  - Silica Fume

- Emerging Use Types
  - Natural Pozzolans
  - Ground Glass
  - Calcined Clay

- Alternative SCMs
  - Manufactured

Coal Fly Ash Supply & Use

- Carbon reduction road maps call for increased use of SCMs
- Coal fly ash is the most common SCM
- Supplies are challenged by power plant closures
- Harvesting offers the opportunity for increased supplies near-term
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Coal Fly Ash Supply & Use

- Actual use normalized to concrete production
- Concrete use growing at a CAGR or ~4%
- Fly ash use (tons per year) remains relatively constant
- Use per cy concrete relatively constant or decreasing
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Coal Ash Supply & Use

- Approximately 14 million metric tons of fly ash used; 1.4 million metric tons of bottom ash.
- Used in concrete, blended cement, and cement production as a raw feed materials.
Other SCM Supply & Use

- **Slag Cement**
  - ~4 million metric tons (4.4 million short tons) used in 2021
  - Availability tied to pig iron production by blast furnaces, which is expected to decrease over the next 10 years
Other SCM Supply & Use

- **Natural Pozzolans**
  - Use in 2021 was approximately 0.86 million metric tons (0.95 million short tons) and is expected to increase by approximately 25% in 2022.
  - Currently six commercial raw natural pozzolan plants and five calcined clay plants in U.S. production.
  - Current raw natural pozzolan capacity is estimated to be 1.35 million metric tons per year (1.5 million short tons per year) while calcined clay is estimated to be approximately 45,000 – 90,000 metric tons (50,000 – 100,000 short tons) in 2021.
Other SCM Supply & Use

- **Ground Glass Pozzolan**

  - In 2018, the most recent data available from the EPA, 11.2 million metric tons (12.3 million short tons) of container glass were produced.
  
  - Of this production, approximately 2.8 million metric tons (3.1 million short tons) were recycled.
  
  - Very little of the recycled glass made its way into concrete given the lack of material recovery facilities (MRFs) processing glass.
  
  - Estimated annual production is on the order of 35,000 metric tons (40,000 short tons).
Estimated SCM Use - 2021

Approximate Use of SCMs in 2021

- Ground Gass Pozzolan: 0.2%
- Calcined Clay: 0.5%
- Natural Pozzolans: 5%
- Bottom Ash: 6%
- Slag Cement: 20%
- Fly Ash: 68%
The Path Forward for Concrete

*Less clinker in cement, less cement in concrete, less concrete in construction*

- Replace clinker content in cement
  - Use blended cement (ASTM C595) or replace clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) at concrete plant
- Use less cementitious materials
  - Optimized aggregate grading
  - Lower cementitious content
- Optimize designs & new mixtures
- Use alternative SCMs and/or alternative cementitious materials
Less Cement in Concrete

- In concept, replace cement with aggregate
- In practice, requires changing the way we think about concrete mixture proportioning
- Traditional approaches to proportioning include the absolute volume method (i.e., ACI 211, ACI 301, PCA Design & Control)
  - Some view this approach to mixture proportion as code or specified when it is only a tool
- We need to use “mixture optimization”
Current Practice: Absolute Volume Method

- Slump tied to water content
- Water content is fixed
- Water-to-cementitious materials ratio \((w/cm)\) is varied by increasing cementitious content
- Coarse and intermediate aggregate content held constant
- Sand content decreased to compensate for added cement
- ACI 301 over-design is used assuming no prior data available; sets high value for targeted compressive strength
Example of Using Absolute Volume Method

• The cementitious materials content is raised in 100 lbs increments to lower the w/cm
  • Strength is increased and permeability decreased by lowering the w/cm

• No consideration of the role of water-reducing admixtures in reducing water content

• Aggregate grading is not optimized to reduce the void space between aggregates
  • Numerous tools available to guide this process
Challenges When Reducing Cementitious Content

• Less cement means less heat generated
  • Can be helpful in hot weather or mass concrete placements
  • Can pose difficulties in cool weather or when early strength is required
• Less cementitious materials means moisture control is more critical as changes in water
  have a more profound impact on w/cm
• Less cementitious materials can mean difficulties when finishing
  • Partially compensated for when aggregate is optimized
• More cementitious materials required with some aggregates
  • Manufactured sands, some poor-quality aggregate
Increasing Cement Content Does Not Necessarily Increase Strength

Influence of cementitious content on compressive strength for mixtures at the same $w/cm$

SL=slag, FA=fly ash, PC=Portland cement, 0.55, 0.47, 0.40 = $w/cm$

Source: Obla, Hong, Lobo, and Kim. TRR No. 2629. 2017
Quick Summary of Void Ratio Method

• The Void Ratio Method proportions concrete mixtures assuming the amount of paste required in a mixture is dependent on the volume of voids

• All voids are filled, and a little extra paste provided to separate the aggregate particles

• Excessive extra paste is avoided to minimize issues with strength, permeability, shrinkage and sustainability

• Three basic components:
  • Select an aggregate system
  • Select a paste system
  • Select a paste quantity
Revisiting Mixture Proportioning

• Re-evaluate approach to mixture proportioning, considering the role of aggregate optimization and water-reducing admixtures
  • Seek life beyond “The Three-Point Curve”
• Several tools are available
  • Tarantula Curve - http://www.tarantulacurve.com/
  • Void Ratio Method - https://cptechcenter.org/publications under “Spreadsheets”
The Path Forward for Concrete

*Less clinker in cement, less cement in concrete, less concrete in construction*

- Replace clinker content in cement
  - Use blended cement (ASTM C595) or replace clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) at concrete plant
- Use less cementitious materials
  - Optimized aggregate grading
  - Lower cementitious content
- Optimize designs & new mixtures
- Use alternative SCMs and/or alternative cementitious materials
New Designs for Materials and Structures

- Optimize designs & implement new designs
  - Use new materials and designs to achieve reductions in cement content
  - Example: Ultra High-Performance Concrete (UHPC)
  - Known since early 90’s
  - 2x the cement; 0.25x concrete, net 50% reduction
New Designs for Materials and Structures

• Progressive owners are seeking solutions
• *Example*: Green DC Futures Team
• AWS, Meta, Microsoft and Google collaborating to advance low carbon concrete use in data center construction
• Seeking feedback on common challenges to implementing low carbon concrete
The Path Forward for Concrete

*Less clinker in cement, less cement in concrete, less concrete in construction*

- Replace clinker content in cement
  - Use blended cement (ASTM C595) or replace clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) at concrete plant
- Use less cementitious materials
  - Optimized aggregate grading
  - Lower cementitious content
- Optimize designs & new mixtures
- Use alternative SCMs and/or alternative cementitious materials
Alternative Materials

• Not the only solution – not the first solution either… but...
• Conventional materials in short supply
  • Fly ash (decreasing coal power)
  • Slag (decreasing blast furnaces)
• Performance – can be better
• Carbon reduction and sequestration
  • Increased uniformity possible
Alternative SCMs - Examples

- **Carbon Upcycling**
  - Patented technology (reactor)
  - Ball milling of the material in a CO\textsubscript{2} environment
  - Size reduction plus carbonation of components in the ash
  - Claim the process works with fly ash, bottom ash, slag, ground glass, natural pozzolans and other natural minerals (e.g., talc)

20 tonne reactor
Alternative SCMs - Examples

- **Company: TerraCO2**
- Synthetic fly ash
- Taking rock with a composition similar to Class F ash, partially melting, cooling in an air stream to form spherical glass particles
- Composition, structure, morphology, particle size all mimic Class F ash
Alternative SCMs - Examples

- **Company: Carbon Limit**
- Non-calcined mineral admixture
- Replaces cement
- Adds a catalyst to increase CO$_2$ uptake
- Claims to adsorb more CO$_2$ in hardened state than portland cement concrete
**Alternative Cements - Examples**

- **LC3**

  - 50% less clinker
  - 40% less CO₂
  - Similar strength
  - Better chloride resistance
  - Resistant to alkali silica reaction

LC³ is a family of cements, the figure refers to the clinker content.

---

K. Scrivener, 2020
Blended Cements & Performance Specs

- **Company: Continental Cement**

- Blended cement with 20% limestone replacement

4. Classification

4.1 This specification applies to the following types of blended cement that generally are intended for use as indicated.

4.1.1 Blended hydraulic cements for general concrete construction.

4.1.1.1 *Type IS*—Portland blast-furnace slag cement.
4.1.1.2 *Type IP*—Portland-pozzolan cement.
4.1.1.3 **Type II**—Portland-limestone cement.
4.1.1.4 *Type IT*—Ternary blended cement.

7.1.5 *Portland-limestone Cement*—Portland-limestone cement shall be a hydraulic cement in which the limestone content is more than 5% but less than or equal to 15% by mass of the blended cement.

Designation: C595/C595M – 21

Standard Specification for Blended Hydraulic Cements
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Blended Cements & Performance Specs

Designation: C1157/C1157M – 20a

Standard Performance Specification for Hydraulic Cement¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1157/C1157M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

1.1 This performance specification covers hydraulic cements for both general and special applications. There are no restrictions on the composition of the cement or its constituents (see Note 1).

Note 1—There are two related hydraulic cement standards, Specification C150/C150M for portland cement and Specifications C595/C595M for blended cements, both of which contain prescriptive and performance requirements

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

C109/C109M Test Method for Compressive Strength of Hydraulic Cement Mortars (Using 2-in. or [50 mm] Cube

¹ A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

² ASTM Standards is a registered trademark of ASTM International.
The Path Forward for Concrete

The Three C’s

**Less clinker in cement, less cement in concrete, less concrete in construction**

- Replace clinker content in cement
  - Use blended cement (ASTM C595) or replace clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) at concrete plant
- Use less cementitious materials
  - Optimized aggregate grading
  - Lower cementitious content
- Optimize designs & new mixtures (UHPC)
- Use alternative SCMs and/or alternative cementitious materials
Broad Challenges That Must Be Overcome

• Change is difficult and perceived to be risky
• The Licensed Design Professional (LDP) is responsible to meet the standard of care for their discipline
  • Life-safety cannot be compromised
  • Innovation is possible but not often pursued
• Risk often falls onto the General Contractor and/or concrete supplier
  • Impacts on constructability
  • Penalties if certain performance measures are not met

• Advancement will be made through risk sharing, collaboration, and demonstrations
How to Mitigate the Risk?

- Education/Training
- Financial Incentives
- Changes in Contracting/Improvements in testing
- Performance Specifications (that include sustainability goals)
- Demonstration Projects
MnROAD - NRRA

- 3.5 mile of I-94 operated by MnDOT
- Partnership with the National Road Research Alliance (NRRA)
- 11 states, 50 industries, associations, and academia
- Designed to test new technologies in a real-world environment
Project Requirements

• General Requirements

  • Portland cement mixtures will use an ASTM C595 Type IL(10) blended cement

  • Mixtures shall meet performance requirements based on AASHTO R 101 Developing Performance Engineered Concrete Pavement Mixtures (required 500 psi flex @ 28 days, 5-8% air)

  • Batched and mixed at a central ready mixed plant and paved using conventional slip-form paving equipment
Final Test Site Construction

• Test cells were constructed at MnROAD to evaluate strategies to reduce GHG emission in concrete paving
  • 16 test cells
    • 2 control cells
    • 1 optimized mixture (based on control)
    • 3 CarbonCure™ cells
    • 8 alternative SCM cells
    • 2 alternative cements
  • Construction completed August 2022
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Project Specific Mixtures

- **Control Mixtures** – Standard MnDOT paving mixture
  - 570 pcy total cementitious with 30% Class F fly ash (Coal Creek)
  - Water-to-cementitious materials ratio of 0.40
- Two control mixtures were needed to accommodate carbon mineralization study
  - One control mixture and the three CarbonCure™ cells will use one set of constituent materials
  - Other control mixture and remaining cells will use another set of constituent materials
Project Specific Mixtures

- **Optimized Mixture** – designed with conventional materials with reduced cementitious materials content
  - Mixture Design by Iowa State University (P. Taylor)
  - Mixture Design – 501 pcy total cementitious; 30% Coal Creek Class F

- **CarbonCure™**
  - One mixture designed by CarbonCure™ with CO₂ injection – 558 pcy total cementitious; 30% Coal Creek Class F
  - Same mixture as above without the CO₂ injection
  - Control mixture with CO₂ injection
Project Specific Mixtures - ASCMs

- **Carbon Upcycling**
  - Fly ash processed by grinding in a pressurized carbon-rich environment
  - Mixture Design – 500 pcy total cementitious; 30% treated ash

- **Urban Mining**
  - Ground-glass pozzolan meeting ASTM C1866
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious; 30% GGP

- **TerraCO2**
  - Manufactured SCM resembling fly ash
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious; 35% manufactured ASCM
Project Specific Mixtures - ASCMs

- **Carbon Limit**
  - Proprietary material, ground limestone, natural pozzolan
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious; 30% ASCM

- **Hess Pumice**
  - Pumice-based natural pozzolan meeting ASTM C618
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious; 30% pozzolan

- **3M**
  - Baghouse dust from shingle granules; natural pozzolan meeting ASTM C618
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious; 15% 3M pozz, 15% Portage Station Class F

- **Burgess Pigments**
  - Metakaolin natural pozzolan
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious; 12% metakaolin, 18% Coal Creek Class F
Project Specific Mixtures - ACMs

- **Ash Grove – IP(30)**
  - Type C595 IP(30) 30% calcined clay
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious using calcined clay as the pozzolan

- **Continental Cement – High Limestone [Type IL(20)]**
  - Blended cement with 20% limestone, 30% Class F ash
  - Mixture Design – 570 pcy total cementitious

- **UltraHigh Materials**
  - 0% portland cement clinker-based hydraulic cement (meets ASTM C1157)
  - Mixture Design – 650 pcy total cementitious
The Research

• Three research teams selected by NRRA
• Data from construction obtained by local testing firm and FHWA Mobile Trailer
• Post-construction testing will be performed by local firm and FHWA Turner-Fairbank
• Research teams will monitor pavement performance over 2 years
• Teams will report on performance including LCA
• Construction report will be out in a few weeks
• MORE DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS ARE NEEDED FOR BOTH EMERGING AND CONVENTIONAL MATERIALS
Closing Thoughts

*The times they are a changing*

*Bob Dylan, 1964*
The Next 5-10 Years Towards Net-Zero

• We need to deviate from what we have done historically, but not through disruption
• Owners need to create demand; the market will follow
• Implement new ways to measure our progress (EPDs)
• Make better use of existing materials
  • More SCMs
  • Optimized mixture designs
• Optimized structural designs to improve durability, constructability, and sustainability.
• New materials once proven through demonstration projects
• Sharing the risk through cooperation between the contracting parties
Questions?

sutter.engineering@gmail.com

or

llsutter@mtu.edu

@sutter engineering llc

periculosa est tempus
indoctus
Julia Pooler  
Co-Lead  
Carbon Leadership Forum WI Hub  
(Also Girl Scout Leader for Troops 1477 & 1952)
What is the **Carbon Leadership Forum**?

- **Research & Policy**
- **Toolkits**
- **Online Forum**
- **Resource Library**
- **Regional Hubs for Education/Engagement**
ECHO PROJECT

Embodied Carbon Harmonization and Optimization

a collaboration between:
YOUR CLF Hub in Wisconsin

- Hub Co-Leads: Ben Austin, Kim Reddin, Julia Pooler, Chelsea Duckworth
  - This is your hub - everyone can help shape the direction!

- **BEST WAY TO STAY IN TOUCH** - Connect with the CLF Wisconsin Hub on [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com/company/clf-wisconsin-hub-111/)

---

**CLF Wisconsin Hub - Carbon Leadership Forum**
Bringing together professionals working to address embodied carbon in the built environment in Wisconsin.
Non-profit Organizations: 45 followers

© Copyright 2021, Carbon Leadership Forum
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tzL79SUBzgg

Thank you!
Questions?

sutter.engineering@gmail.com

or

llsutter@mtu.edu

@sutter engineering llc

*periculum est tempus indoctus*