PEM State Specification Review														
No.		Date of call	Strength	Shrinkage	Freeze-thaw	Oxychloride	Transport	Aggregate	Workability	Other	Notes/Recommendations	Specification year	Specifications Link	Summary of spec changes as a result of PEM (based on
1 lowa	6/	21/2019	No requirement, no testing	Paste volume is typically less than 25%, no testing for pavement, may consider a ring test for bridge decks	SAM, likely focus on mix design	Looking at adopting a 0.15 CaOXY limit	0.42 max w/c	No concerns with D-cracking or ASR, state has effective approach	Gradation requirements deliver acceptable workability. If minimum cement contents are removed then VKelly or Box prequalification would be required.		A tool is needed to calculate voids in aggregate rather than having to measure it in the lab. If there is a good correlation between CaOH and CaOXY, this will be cheaper to measure and specify. Looking at resistivity. Performed shadow projects in 2018 & 2019 with 4 PEM tests. One PEM shadow project in 2020.	2020	https://nowadot.gov/ed/	calls and TAC meetings. Have been using optimized aggregate gradation for over 20 years, Working on mixes with reduced cement conten and validating at mix design phase with box test and SAMM
2 Illinois	7)		650 psi flexural @ 14 days, 3500 psi @ 14 days, compressive (either testing is allowed)	Paste volume typically 24-26%, bu is not measured. Shrinkage requirement likely to come in a few years for bridge decks	air testing; every truck is tested	chloride used on bridge decks, only	No testing in spec but interested in resistivity. Have NCHRP research project. w/c 0.32< 0.42	No concerns with D-cracking or ASR, state has effective approach	Considering a VKelly or Box test at mix design stage. No desire to mandate a tarantula curve, would rather have contractor come up with own mix.		Interested in a spec to include payment for performance to be similar to HMA. Will likely have a lengthy statewide shadow testing phase once the determine their PEM tests. Want to avoid conflict between contractor and producer. Concerns with the SAM used for acceptance, Illinois is measuring hardened air and getting good results. Regular SAM testing in the field is untilkely but may be used as a periodic check. SAM has a lot of potential but OD' is going to have to incorporate troubleshooting tools into its QC/QA training program.		http://doi.llinois.gov/Asset/uplcadt/files/Doing-Business/Manuals- Guides-8-t-Indoubs/Hebway/Chrostruction/Stander-1 Guides-8-t-Indoubs/Hebway/Chrostruction/Stander-1 Specifications/Standard%20Specifications/k20for%20Road%20and%20Bridge%20Construction%202016.pdf	Considering V-Kelly or Box test in mix design phase, will start collecting daw with SAM on bridge decks. Spec. I have max. and min. cement and w/c ratios. Performed shadow project in 2019 with 4 PEM tests
3 Tennes:	ssee 8/	2/2019	3,000 psi compressive @ 28 days, acceptance monitor with coring	No testing but emphasize curing and w/c for bridge decks	Started using SAM internally	Calcium chloride used for deicing	Max 0.49 w/c,-Mixes allow for SCMS, interested in resistivity	No concerns, but researching how to mitigate ASR if encountered	Interested in V-Kelly		Communication with industry is encouraged, looking to expand exposure with future association. Looking for future shadow test candidate. Will investigate spec on fly ash temperature language.	2015	https://www.tn.gov/hdot/hdot-construction-division/transportation- construction-division-resources/transportation-construction-2015- standard-specifications.html	No Change
4 Wiscon	nsin 8/	/13/2019	650 psi @ 28 days flexural, 3000 psi @ 28 days compressive	No testing	7 ± 1.5% air. SAM on lab qualified mixes since Dec 2017 (mix design), considering SAM in 2021 specs (acceptance)	Not Tested	Interested in resistivity testing, max. 0.42 w/c ratio	No concerns	Slump ≤ 2.5 inches. Allows for optimized mix (3% incentive), V Kelly and Box likely will be utilized during mix design phase in future projects		Concluding research project (8 sites) on PEM protocols. May go to only flexural for strength requirement in next few years. Lots of SAM data available. Considering PM, for SAM. Optimized mix by CP Tech reduced cement by 7% to 520 pcy.	2020	https://wisconsindot.gou/Pages/doing-bus/eng-consultants/cnslt- rucces/rdwv/stndspec.aspx	SAM shadow testing in mix design phase (and once per lot) since Dec 2017. Considering adding SAM for pavements acceptance testing in 2021. Spec allows for 3% incentive with optimized aggregate gradation allowing cement reduction from 564 pcy to 520 pcy
5 Colorad	do 8/	26/2019	4500 psi compressive @ 28 days, 650 psi flexural	Unrestrained shrinkage shall not exceed 0.050% at 28-days when tested by CP-L 4103.	No SAM in new spec. 4-8% air		max w/c ratio 0.45, Max. 2500 coulombs @ 56 days or surface resistivity of at least 12 kW-cm @ 28 days (AASHTO T 358).	Some ASR issues regionally, been testing for over 25 years, mitigating with F ash. Used modified 1260 but now 1567.	& ranking of 2 or better on box		Information reviewed is from 8-23-19 draft spec that will be implemented this fall. Changes in new draft spec: Eliminated max and min cement content. Includes max shrinkage.	2019	https://www.codot.gov/business/designsupport/cdot-construction- specifications/2019-construction-specifications/rev-ssp/rev-sec600/rev-sec 601sc/view	Revised specification in October, 2019. Removed max, and min. cement requirements, allow optimized gradation, box test in mix design (c.) 25° dege slump and ranking of 2 or less). Mix permeability < 2,500 coulombs, 9
6 Michiga	an 9/	4/2019	650 psi flexural @ 28 days, 3500 psi compressive @ 28 days	No testing	5-8.5% air. Have 19 SAMs, concerns with equipment performance and durability	25-40% SCM replacement allowed. Typically use 25-30% slag	0.45 max w/c, wants to encourage to lower. Have seen variability in resistivity based on change of only aggregate		Optimizing aggregates since 1998 based on shilstone	Slag is preferred SCM and not fly ash due to: 1) reliable and consistent availability, 2) variability in air content (air content is PWL pay factor)	Considering incentive to lower w/c ratio. SAM certification is required for technicians. SAM Certification classes held 3 times and can be used as an example (similar to ACI Level). Likely see SAM in the ba only. C Orole; would like to see contractors and industry with more proactive role and would benefit from demos of box and V-Keily tests	2012	https://www.michigan.gov/mdot/0.4616.7-151-9622_11044_11357	Have been using optimized aggregate gradation for over 20 years, considering use of SAM in the mix design phase, performed SAM testing on 2 shadow projects
7 New Yo	ork 9/		600 psi flexural @ 28 days (mix design), 3000 psi compressive @ 28 days for opening using 4" cylinders	25% max paste per AASHTO (mix design)	5-10% air, SAM max. 0.2 (mix design). SAM ≤ 0.25 acceptable, >0.25 to ≤ 0.3 action required, >0.3 reject	No testing	0.40 max. Resistivity > 16.5 kOhm-cm (4" x 8" cylinders) AASHTO T358 (mix design). Resistivity during paving for information only		Slump as desired by contractor. Tarantula curve, Shilstone or 818 method		Ready mix plants supplying majority of mixes. There is a need to get PEM training to industry. Industry may benefit from box test and V-Kelly exposure. Contractor has Quality Control plan. Contractor sampling and testing plastic PCC (not for acceptance). Contractor provides ACI Certified Concrete Field Testing Technical, Oracle to In ligher (sampling, temp, slump, air and in the future possibly SAM)		https://www.dot.nv.gov/main/business- center/imgineering/specifications/updated-standard-specifications-us	Performed 2 shadow projects in 2019. SAM, resistivity, paste volume were mix design requirements. One project and SAM acception te testing. Standard concrete spec revised by structural engineers with SAM, pilot project with SAM on structural project.
8 Minnes	sota 9/	726/2019	No strength testing for acceptance, just for opening	No testing, paste volume is around 25%	7% +2% to -1.5%. SAM for shadow testing 0.25 to 0.3.	No testing	0.40 max (fly ash), 0.42 max (ternary) w/c with incentives for less. Min \$ SCM (ash/slag/temary) (33/35/40). Consultant doing resistivity testing on shadow projects	No concerns with D-cracking or ASR, have spec limit for carbon	No slump requirement. V-Kelly done in the lab, box test during mix design in D-B project	530 - 615 pcy, 615-750 pcy (high strength)	Had a design-build project with PEM testing. Shadow project will start spring 2020. They will send shadow test data. Incentives/Disincentives for wic/ ratio, aggregate quality and well-graded aggregate for paving plant and certified ready mix plant. Experienced some leaking problems with SAM. Interested in SAM training as part of PEM pooled fund.	2018 r	http://www.dot.state.mn.us/pre-letting/spec/	Have optimized aggregate gradation, includes 0.40 max. w/c ratio (0.42 max w/c for ternary mixes) with incentives, performed 2 shadow projects in 2019 with PEM tests
9 Idaho	10		4500 psi @ 28 days, 3500 psi for opening, 660 pcy min. cement	No testing	4 - 7% air	Use fly ash & slag SCM	0.42 max. w/c, resistivity testing utilized lime water prep	Have ASR risk areas, mitigated with F ash. Eastern region uses lithium	2" max slump, interested in box and V-Kelly test	Min. 660 pcy cement	2019 shadow project had optimized mix design with 10% less cement than normal. Planning on changing spec to allow optimized mix for reduced cement content. Planning MCTC visit and open house in 2020.	2018	https://apps.itd.idaho.gov/Apps/manuals/SpecBook/SpecBook18.pdf	Shadow project in 2019 accepted optimized aggregate gradation with lower cement content. Considering allowing this into future specification
10 Californ	nia 10	0/5/2019	570 psi Mod. Of Rupture @ 28 days (acceptance test)	Revised spec is 0.032 for bridge decks. Modified 1160 is to take initial reading at 7 days after casting. Demold at 24 hrs and keep in lime water until initial reading.	desert areas. For other areas, air is not specified and max air must be 4% in average of 3 tests and	SCMs must be used in FT and corrosive areas. For corrosive areas, SCMs must be used by one of the following: (1) 25% natural pozzolan or fly ash, (2) 20% natural pozzolan or fly ash, (2) 20% natural pozzolan or fly ash + 5% slika fume. (3) 125% slica fume, metakaolin, or UFFA, or (4) 50% GGBFS.		D-cracking not an issue. ASR is mitigated with SCMs	For paving concrete, mix design requirement is max. 1.5" Kelly ball penetration.		On site training and demo on Oct 31. Actual shadow testing began Jan 13 on once a week basis due to delay in the project paving schedule. Currently field testing is on hold since Mar 3. Plan to resume at a full speed in late May or early June. Interested in lowering 675 pcy requirement in corrosive environments	2018	https://doi.ca.gov/programs/design/ccs-standard-plans-and-standard-specifications	No Change
11 Kansas	5 10	0/10/2019	4000 psi @ 28 day, 4" cylinders	No testing	5% air & max spacing of 0.01 inch after paver using AVA. Close to implementing SAM with associated internal training regimen	No testing	Testing permeability since 2007. 0.45 max w/c, resistivity by Rapid Chloride, boll or Surface test	ASR not an issue, some eastern aggregate sources have durability challenges	Using V-Kelly, interesting in comparing SAM and V-Kelly	Min. 517 pcy (QC/QA mix)	Looking to implement SAM to replace AVA and use as acceptance test, looking at SAM certification process, used lime water and moist room sample prep for resistivity testing, starting to use V-kelly, planning to use box test. F-T test acceptance based on 660 cycles	2015	https://www.ksdot.org/bureaus/burConsMain/specprov/2015specprov.as 2	Have had optimized aggregate gradation for 10 years, testing resistivity for 5 years. Considering SAM requirements in mix design or acceptance for 2021. Performed shadow project in 2019 with PEM tests
12 South C	Dakota 10			test, limits and best methods for bridge deck		unsure how to deal with Oxychloride other than using ash	0.42 max, 0.39-0.42 is typical, resistivity testing planned for mix design phase and possibly field testing	No issues with absorption or D- cracking, ASR mitigated with Type F Ash (20 - 25%), use a Modified 1260 test for ASR	Concern for V-Kelly - amount of time for test. Prefers Box Test		Next spec revision to use Tarantula curve	2015	https://doi.sd.gov/doing-business/contractors/standard-specifications	Considering SAM, tarantula curve and box test in mix design phase. Performed shadow project in 2018 with PEM tests
13 Oklahoi	oma 1:	1/1/2019	3000 psi compressive @ 28 days , 650 psi flexural @ 28 days , 700 psi flexural @ 56 days	No testing	6 % ± 1.5%	No testing	0.48 max w/c, fly ash max 20%, max SCM 50%		slump 2± 1 in	min. 517 pcy		2019	https://www.odot.org/c_manuals/specbook/2019%20-FULL-SPEC-Web- Version.pdf	No Change
14 Georgia	la 1:	1/13/2019	3,000 psi compressive @ 28 days	No testing	air requirement, northern area gets freezing temps	No testing	max 0.53, 15% max fly ash, 30%-50% slag replacement	few reactive sources	slump max 2.5 inches		DOT has plans to review their mainline paving specification section 430 to incorporate PEM.	2013	http://www.dot.ga.gov/PartnerSmart/Business/Source/specs/DOT2013.pd f	No Change
15 Ohio	1,		4000 psi @ 28 days compressive, 400 psi flexural. May lower to 300 psi	No testing, but allow tarantula curve to optimize aggregate; reduce paste		do not seal joints, may be interested	2000 coulombs @ 28 days permeability for pavement, 1500 coulombs for bridge deck, max 0.45 w/c, 20% max fly ash, max sigs 30%, max combination 50%, wants to do resistivity testing	Not the best gravel, concern on	to get rid of slump test, have t done box test and V-kelly test	min 520 pcy	May consider reducing max w/c ratio to 0.42. Should utilize SCM in mix to address oxychloride. PCC paving is JPCP. Witration recommendations 6000-8000. Looking to apply PSM to Origide ecks over 2 year period (1-480). No significant PCC projects, continue PCC paving on ramps.	2019	http://www.dot.state.ch.us/Divisions/ConstructionMgt/OnlineDocs/Pages [2019-Online-Spec-Book asps	No Change
16 Pennsyl	ylvania 2,		strength. Maturity testing is allowed but contractors are not typically using unless	decks and pavements. AASHTO T	have 4 SAMs and using on larger		Max. w/c 0.42 (silp form), 0.45 (form paving), Permeability of 2,000 coulombs after 56 day curing (AASHTOT 273 on AASHTOT 338), not to exceed 2,800 coulombs. Not to exceed 15.6 kt/2-cm for 4-inch by 8-inch cylinders and 12.3 kt/2-cm for 6-inch by 12-inch cylinders, for 6-inch by 12-inch cylinders, max. By ash 15%, max. GGBFS 25%-50%, max combination 50%.		Have used the Box Test, have not worked with V-Kelly. Prefer to remove slump test.		Updated specifications in April 2020 incorporating PEM parameter testing.	2020	http://www.dot.state.pa.us/public/PubpForms/Publications/Pub-408/408_ _2020/408_2020_IE/408_2020_IE.pdf	Lowered w/c ration to 0.42 (slip form) and 0,45 (hand placed). Permeability & shrinkage now tested on peacements and allowing use of respod in lieu of rapid chloride penetration (April 2020)
17 North C			3000 psi opening strength, 4500 psi compressive, 650 psi flexural acceptance @ 28 days. Min 526 pcy cementitious 4000 psi @ 28 days, 3000 psi for traffic	mix design)	Air content 5 +/- 1.5% . Have lots of SAM data. Future SAM number could be 0.3 6% ± 2%, have done some SAM testing in the lab		w/c ratio typically 0.4 to 0.5. Good results with shadow project resistivity testing. Lime water sample prep used. max 0.45 w/c , max fly ash 20% , max slag 25%		1.5 in. max slump (slip form) & in. (hand placed). Box test used in shadow project. slump max 2 inches, no exposure to Vkelly or Box test	on bridge deck and deck overlay.	Consider allowing range of cementitious volume based on optimized aggregate gradation. 2014 Spec date. They typically get 1k-3k psi over the spec for strengths.	2018	8%20Standard%20Specifications%20for%20Roads%20and%20Structures.p df	Working on pilot project with resistivity requirements (bridge deck). Performed shadow project in 2019 with PEM tests No Change
19 Maine	3/	/3/2020	4000 - 5000 psi @ 28 days	Mortar bar expansion testing	6% - 9%	No testing	no specified w/c ratio, max 2400 coulombs (bridge deck), 2000 coulombs (low perm mix)		Slump (QC test by contractors, No DOT slump testing)	content	Primarily using concrete specification for bridge deck application. No concrete paving specifications.	2014	https://www.maine.gov/mdot/contractors/publications/standardspec/ind ex2014.shtml	

States seeing variability in resistivity due to aggregate porosity: lowa, Michigan, Illinois and Kansas.